Empirical analysis of Birth Registration E-governance data using Naïve Bayes Data Mining

Dr Pushpal Desai¹

Department of ICT¹, Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Surat¹ Email: desaipushpal@hotmail.com

Abstract-The Naïve Bayes algorithm is based on Thomas Baye's theorem. The Naïve Bayes algorithm is very simple to understand and Naïve Bayes algorithm can be effectively applied to solve verity of problems. In this paper, Naïve Bayes algorithm is applied on Birth Registration E-governance data. The implementation of Naïve Bayes algorithm finds novel relationship among various attributes related to Birth Registration e-governance data.

Index Terms-Naïve Bayes, Birth Registration, Data Mining.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Naïve Bayes data mining, all attributes are considered independent and all attributes are considered for equal importance. In the algorithm, the hypothesis is that the given data belong to a particular class [1]. We need to calculate probability to calculate that our hypothesis is true [1]. The major advantage of this algorithm is that we need to scan all data only once [1]. As per Bayes theorem,

(P(E|H) = (P(E|H) X P(H))/P(E)

Where H is a hypothesis, E is the evidence associated with the hypothesis. The evidence is determined by value of the input attributes. P(E|H) is the conditional probability that H is true given evidence E. P(H) is an a priori probability, which is probability of hypothesis based on evidence [2]. The Naïve Bayes algorithm is applied to solve different types of problems. Jasmine Norman et al, applied Naïve Bayes algorithm for sentiment analysis. Jasmine Norman et al. considered demonetization and budget as case study [3]. Their finding suggests that Naïve Bayes algorithm can be effectively utilized in sentiment analysis [3]. Pisote and Bhuyar reviewed use of Naïve Bayes algorithm for Opinion Mining [4]. Ankita R. Borkar and Prashant R. Deshmukh proposed use of Naïve Bayes algorithm for Swine Flu prediction [5]. Ishtiaq Ahmed et al used Naïve Bayes algorithm for SMS classification [6]. Mahajan Shubhrata D et al proposed block diagram based on Naïve Bayes algorithm for stock market prediction [7]. Babajide O. Afeni et al research paper proposed Naïve Bayes classifier for Hypertension Prediction. Their results indicate that Naïve Bayes classifier can be effectively used for prediction for diseases [8]. S Vijayarani and S Deepa proposed Naïve Bayes Classification for Predicting Diseases in Haemoglobin Protein Sequences. Their results suggest that Naïve Bayes Classification is useful in predicting diseases [9]. Considering various literature, in this paper, all attributes related to Birth

Registration data are considered to predict Delivery Attention ID and Delivery Method ID. The finding of the implementation shows novel trends and interesting relationships among different attributes.

2. METHODOLOGY

The Microsoft Analysis Service is used to implement Naïve Bayes algorithm. The algorithm implements Bayes' theorems and it can be used for data exploration and prediction [10]. This algorithm calculates conditional probability between input attributes and output attributes and it also consider all attributes independent. As all attributes are independent it is referred as Naïve [11]. The Microsoft Naïve Bayes algorithm was implemented considering parameters mentioned as per Table 1 [11].

Name of parameter	Description
MAXIMUM_	To configure number of
INPUT_	input attributes
ATTRIBUTES	
MAXIMUM	To configure number of
_OUTPUT	output attributes
_ATTRIBUTES	
MINIMUM	To configure number of
_STATES	values to be considered for
	attributes

Table 1. Naïve Bayes algorithm Parameters.

In the next phase, various input attributes and output attributes were selected to implement Naïve Bayes algorithm. The Birth Date attribute was selected as key value as it is required to identify each row uniquely from the data set. Considering analytical needs, various attributes were selected as per Figure 1.

International Journal of Research in Advent Technology, Vol.7, No.1, January 2019 E-ISSN: 2321-9637 Available online at www.ijrat.org

2018NB.dmm [Design] ×					
🛣 Mining Structure 🔨 Mining Models 歳 Mining Model Viewer 🖉 M					
4					
Structure 🔺	2018NB				
	Microsoft_Naive_Bayes				
😰 Birth Date	🐖 Key				
Delivery Attention ID	🐺 PredictOnly				
Delivery Method ID	🐺 PredictOnly				
Father Education ID	🚛 Input				
Mother Education ID	🚛 Input				
🖄 Religion ID	🚛 Input				
📉 Sex	🚈 Input				

Figure 1. Attribute selection

The Religion ID attribute contains values as per Figure 2.

Explore NewReligionMaster Table 🗙 bd.cube [Design]					
Table					
ReligionID	ReligionName				
1	Boddh				
2	Christian				
3	Hind				
4	Hindu				
5	Jain				
6	Muslim				
7	Other				
8	Parsi				
9	Shikh				

Figure 2. Religion ID Attribute values

The Sex attribute contain value Male or Female. The Father Education ID and Mother Education ID attributes contain values as per Figure 3.

Figure	3	Education	ID	Attribute	values
riguie	э.	Education	ID	Aunoute	values

Explore NewEduca	tionMaster Table $ imes$	bd.cube [Design]
Table		
EducationID	EducationLevel	
1	Graduate or Above	
2	Illiterate	
3	Primary	
4	Secondary	

Based on various parameters and attributes, Naïve Bayes data mining model was generated.

3. RESULTS

The Naïve Bayes data mining generated many interesting and novel trends from input attributes. For Delivery Attention ID predictable attribute, Naïve Bayes algorithm shows relationship among various input attributes. The Delivery Attention ID state 1= Doctor, Nurse or Trained Midwife and state 2=

Institutional-Government is having novel relationship with Religion ID 6 and 4 which depicted in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Attribute Discriminations Delivery Attention	ı
ID	

📉 Mining Structure 📉 Mining	g Models 🛛 🎄 Mining N	1odel Viewer 🛛 🖉 Mini	ng Accuracy Chart 📑	Mining Model Prediction	
Mining Model: 2018NB	• Vi	ewer: Microsoft Naive	Bayes Viewer	• 2	
Dependency Network Attribute F	Profiles Attribute Cha	racteristics Attribute	Discrimination		
Attribute: Deliver	ry Attention II 💌	Value 1:	. •	Value 2: 2	•
Discrimination scores for 1 and 2					
Attributes	Values		Favors 1	Favors 2	
Attributes Religion ID	Values 6		Favors 1	Favors 2	
			Favors 1	Favors 2	
Religion ID	6		Favors 1	Favors 2	
Religion ID Religion ID	6 4		Favors 1	Favors 2	
Religion ID Religion ID Father Education ID	6 4 3		Favors 1	Favors 2	

The result indicate that Religion ID = 6 (Muslim) favours Delivery Attention at Institutional-Government, whereas Religion ID = 4 (Hindu) favours Delivery Attention at Doctor, Nurse or Trained Midwife. Similarly, Delivery Method ID predictable attribute also shows interesting relationship among different input attributes. For example, Delivery Method ID=2 (Forceps/Vaccum) favours Father Education ID=3 which is Primary education, whereas Delivery Method ID=3 (Natural) favours Father Education ID=2 which is Illiterate. These results are shown in the Figure 5.

Figure 5. Attribute Discriminations: Delivery Method ID

2018NB.dmm [Design] ×					
🔣 Mining Structure 🛛 K Mining Models 🐉 Mining Model Viewer 🖉 Mining Accuracy Chart 🖤 Mining Model Prediction					
Mining Model: 2018NB Viewer: Microsoft Naive Bayes Viewer					
Dependency Network Attribute Profiles Attribute Characteristics Attribute Discrimination					
Attribute: Delivery Method ID 🔹 Value 1: 3 🔹 Value 2: 2					
Discrimination scores for 3 and 2					
Attributes	Values	Favors 3	Favors 2		
Father Education ID	3				
Father Education ID	2				
Father Education ID	Missing				
Mother Education ID	Missing				
Mother Education ID	2				

4 CONCLUSION

The Naïve Bayes data mining model demonstrates that algorithm is very useful for finding novel relationship among various attributes and also for explanatory study of data. The study concludes that Religion ID attributes' value 6 and 4 are related with Delivery Attention ID attributes' value 2 and 1. Similarly, Delivery Method ID attributes' value 2 favours Father Education ID attributes' value 3 whereas Delivery Method ID attributes' value 3 favours Father Education ID attributes' value 2.

Acknowledgments

The Birth Registration data from year 2000 to year 2009 was provided by the municipal corporation for the research purpose only.

International Journal of Research in Advent Technology, Vol.7, No.1, January 2019 E-ISSN: 2321-9637 Available online at www.ijrat.org

REFERENCES

- [1] G. K. Gupta, Introduction Data Mining with Case Studies, PHI Publication..
- [2] Richard Roiger, Michael Geatz, Data Mining A Tutorial Based Primer, Pearson Publication.
- [3] Jasmine Norman et al, A NAIVE-BAYES STRATEGY FOR SENTIMENT ANALYSIS ON DEMONETIZATION AND INDIAN BUDGET 2017-CASE-STUDY, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 117 No. 17 2017, 23-31, ISSN: 1311 -8080.
- [4] PISOTE A. AND BHUYAR V., REVIEW ARTICLE ON OPINION MINING USING NAÏVE BAYES CLASSIFIER, Advances in Computational Research ISSN: 0975-3273 & E-ISSN: 0975-9085, Volume 7, Issue 1, 2015,p.-259-261.
- [5] Ms. Ankita R. Borkar, Dr. Prashant R. Deshmukh, Naïve Bayes Classifier for Prediction of Swine Flu Disease, International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering, Volume 5, Issue 4, April 2015, ISSN: 2277 128X.
- [6] Ishtiaq Ahmed et al, SMS Classification Based on Naïve Bayes Classifier and Apriori Algorithm Frequent Itemset, International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing, Vol. 4, No. 2, April 2014.
- [7] Mahajan Shubhrata D et al, Stock Market Prediction and Analysis Using Naïve Bayes, International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, Volume: 4, Issue: 11, pp- 124, ISSN: 2321-8169.
- [8] Babajide O. Afeni1 et al, Hypertension Prediction System Using Naive Bayes Classifier, Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science, 24(2): 1-11, 2017; Article no.JAMCS.35610, ISSN: 2231-0851.
- [9] S Vijayarani and S Deepa, Naïve Bayes Classification for Predicting Diseases in Haemoglobin Protein Sequences, International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Informatics, Vol. 3: No. 4, January - March 2014.
- [10] https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/sql/analysisservices/data-mining/microsoft-naive-bayesalgorithm-technical-reference?view=sql-server-2017, Last access date 29 Jan 2019
- [11] https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/sql/analysisservices/data-mining/microsoft-naive-bayesalgorithm-technical-reference?view=sql-server-2017, last access date 29 Jan 2019